

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/802,925	FILIPPI, ERMANNO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1797	

All Participants:

(1) N. Bhat.

(2) M. Raucci.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested to applicant's representative to delete "endothermic" and insert --reforming-- as this method as described in the specification is to generating a heating fluid which is used in reforming reactions by using some the heat which is generated while combusting and reforming and optimization of streams in order to expedite prosecution. Claim 9 improperly depends from itself, the examiner's amendment corrects dependency to depend from claim 7.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

